

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 \* \* \*

6 THOMAS SUPRANOVICH,

7 Petitioner,

8 v.

9 WILLIAM HUTCHINGS, *et al.*,

10 Respondents.  
11

Case No. 2:21-cv-01269-RFB-BNW

**ORDER**

12 In this habeas corpus action, Respondents seek an enlargement of time *nunc pro tunc* to  
13 file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss the first-amended petition (ECF No. 37). The  
14 Court finds the request is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay; therefore,  
15 good cause exists to grant the motion.

16 **THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 17 1. Respondents' Unopposed Motion for Enlargement of Time *Nunc Pro Tunc* to  
18 Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 37) is  
19 **GRANTED**. Respondents have until March 17, 2025, to file a reply in support  
20 of their motion to dismiss the first-amended petition.  
21

22 **DATED:** February 27, 2025

23 

24 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
25 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**  
26  
27  
28